

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING I | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------|------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/000,236 | 000,236 11/30/2001 | | Alan Smithies | 15880.10003 | 9250 |
| 27526 | 7590 10/21/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | ELL SANDER | | LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M | | |
| | I STREET, SUI | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| KANSAS (| CITY, MO 641 | 108 | | 1724 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/000,236 | SMITHIES, ALAN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| 4 | Frank M. Lawrence | 1724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-24</u> is/are pending in the appl | ication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-24</u> are subject to restriction a | nd/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro | oved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| LS Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested that the spelling of "polyamidimide" in claim 6 be changed to "polyamideimide" to remain consistent with the art and with claims 12 and 15. The attempt to make a correction in the amendment is noted, however an "e" was added with double bracketing rather than an underline. Also, it is suggested that the first instance of "polyimide" be deleted from claim 1 for clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 7 and 13 each recite the limitation "the polyimide based stiffening agent" in line
- 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because of the amendment to independent claims 1 and 9 that removes the "polyimide based" recitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/000,236

Art Unit: 1724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0726348 A1.
- 7. EP '348 teaches a gas permeable filter for use in filtration applications at temperatures of over 375° F (p. 2, lines 34-39), comprising a pleated glass or polyphenylene sulfide substrate (p. 2, lines 40-49) that is treated with a polyamideimide film at 1-30% by weight (p. 3, lines 14-19) to rigidize the filter.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the filter treating composition in the EP patent does not anticipate the stiffening agent "consisting of a polyimide" as recited in the amended claims because the EP patent composition contains both a fluoropolymer and a polyimide rigidizing film. It is submitted that the fluoropolymer is not part of the stiffening agent, but is instead used for chemical protection and lubricity under end-use operating conditions (see p. 2, lines 50-51). The polyimide rigidizing film is only part of the filter-treating composition, and this part is interpreted to meet the limitation of a "stiffening agent consisting of a polyimide" of the instant claims.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/000,236

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 703-305-0585. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on 703-308-1261. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

fl

10-15-03